Belgian National Committee for Geodesy and Geophysics

Research Seminar ORB, Uccle, 12 Novembre 2015

Introducing uncertainty in the impact assessments of climate change on local scale hydrology.

M. Vanclooster and H. Sellami

Earth and Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain (<u>Marnik.vanclooster@uclouvain.be</u>); H. Sellami, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool (Haykel.Sellami@liverpool.ac.uk)

The recently released AR5 report of IPCC confirms that freshwater-related risks of climate change increase significantly with increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations and that climate change is projected to reduce renewable surface water and groundwater resources significantly in many areas overall the world. This will intensify competition for water among agriculture, ecosystems, settlements, industry, and energy production, affecting regional water, energy, and food security and increase water insecurity. This calls for paradigm shifts in the water management policy and adaptation of water management at different levels (physical technical, social, institutional). However, many barriers for adaptation exists. One of these barriers is related to a poor understanding of possible impacts of climate change at the local hydrological scale, and a poorly characterized uncertainty associated with such impact studies.

To improve this, we show how uncertainty can be propagated for assessing hydrological impacts of climate change at the local scale. We illustrate the approach for two catchments of the Mediterranean region - which is considered as a hotspot for climate change – and demonstrate how uncertainty can be decomposed in uncertainty coming from the hydrological model and uncertainty coming from the climate model.





Outline

- What do we know about hydrological impacts of climate change? A global perspective.
- Uncertainty: a barrier in the climate adaptation strategy.
- Uncertainty in local scale hydrological studies in the mediterranean area.

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

UCL - EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

What do we know about hydrological impacts of climate change? A global perspective

The multi-dimensional aspects of water

- Water is a multifunctional natural resource
- Water is blue , green and grey
- Water is strongly impacted by Climate Change
- Water is at risk
- Water is a cross-cutting theme in the development agenda (food energy health -...)

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE



























































| What will k | pe the impact | on water? |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Blue water shortage (10 ⁹ inhabitants) | Blue and green water shortage (10 ⁹ inhabitants) |
| Europe | 0,16 | 0,00081 |
| North America | 0,05 | 0,0052 |
| South America | 0 | 0 |
| Asia | 5,46 | 3,35 |
| Africa | 0,83 | 0,57 |
| Oceania | 0 | 0 |
| World shortage | 6,50 | 3,93 |
| World | 10,95 | 10,95 |
| Blu ICL – Earth & Life Institute - | e and green water shortage, 205 | 50. Rockström et al., 2009, WRR |



















What will be the impact on water?

- Freshwater-related risks of climate change increase significantly with increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations (robust evidence, high agreement) Climate change is projected to reduce renewable surface water and groundwater resources significantly in most dry subtropical regions (robust evidence, high agreement). This will intensify competition for water among agriculture, eccosystems, settlements, industry, and energy production, affecting regional water, energy, and food security (*limited evidence, medium tohigh agreement*).
- water, energy, and food security (*limited evidence, medium tohigh agreement*). So far there are no widespread observations of changes in flood magnitude and frequency due to anthropogenic climate change, but projections imply variations in the frequency of floods (*limited evidence, medium agreement*). Climate change is *likely* to increase the frequency of meteorological droughts (less rainfall) and agricultural droughts (less soil moisture) in presently dry regions by the end of the 21st century under the RCP8.5 scenario (*medium confidence*). This is *likely* to increase the frequency of short hydrological droughts (less surface water and groundwater) in these regions (*medium evidence, medium agreement*). Climate change negatively impacts freshwater ecosystems by changing streamflow and water quality (*medium evidence, high agreement*).

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

UCL - EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE -

Summary of expected impacts for water , IPCC, AR5

What will be the impact on water?

- Climate change is projected to reduce raw water quality, posing risks to drinking water quality even with conventional treatment (*medium* evidence, high agreement)
- evidence, high agreement) In regions with snowfall, climate change has altered observed streamflow seasonality, and increasing alterations due to climate change are projected (robust evidence, high agreement) Because nearly all glaciers are too large for equilibrium with the present climate, there is a committed water resources change during much of the 21st century, and changes beyond the committed change are expected due to continued warming; in glacierfed rivers, total meltwater yields from stored glacier ice will increase in many regions during the next decades but decrease thereafter (robust evidence, high agreement). There is little or no observational evidence withat soil erosion and
- There is little or no observational evidence yet that soil erosion and sediment loads have been altered significantly due to changing climate (limited evidence, medium agreement).

Summary of expected impacts for water . IPCC, AR5

What will be the impact on water?

In brief:

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTI

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE -

0

A lot of observed and possible impacts.... but also quite some uncertainty.

Uncertainty: a barrier in the climate adaptation strategy.



Mitigation: Impact for water

- Some measures to reduce GHG emissions imply risks for freshwater systems (*medium evidence, high agreement*).
 - Hydropower
 - Bio-energy

- EARTH & LIFE INSTITUT

IPCC, AR5

Adaptation

• Paradigm shift:

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

UCL - EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

1

- From « predict and provide » towards « adaptive no regret solutions »
- From « technical » towards « participatory collaborative solutions »
- From « cost-benefit » towards « multi-metric evaluations that include risk / uncertainty / cultural and ethics / trade-offs »

IPCC, AR5

Adaptation

 Adapative capacity is place and context specific. There is no single approach for risk reduction that is appropriate across all settings.

IPCC, AR5











Example: Adaptation to water scarcity in terms of blue and green water availability

| A | Chronic blue water shortage, blue and green water shortage | Food imports, social and financial insurance systems, investments in unconventional water sources |
|----|--|--|
| B1 | Green water freedom under chronic blue water shortage | Rainwater management and soil moisture conservation, runoff water harvesting systems, spatial catchment planning, adaptive water management at micro and mesocathment |
| B2 | Green water freedom under blue water shortage | Idem + microcatchment and supplemental irrigation |
| С | Blue water freedom under green water shortage | Integrated water governance at rivers basin scale. Infrastructure development for irrigation |
| D | Blue and green water freedom | Simultaneous strategies for irrigation development and water management in rain- fed agriculture |
| | | Rockström et al., 2009, WRR |



Barriers to adaptation

- Lack of technical capacity
- Lack of human and institutional capacity
- Lack of financial resources
- Lack of awareness

JCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE –

- Lack of communication
- Underestimating the deep uncertainty
- Inappropriate consideration of trade-offs











Introducing uncertainty in local scale hydrological impact assessments

- Uncertainty limits the use of a model for making regulatory decisions unless the uncertainty is figured into the decision making process
- The ice-berg analog: we attempt to consider the uncertainty that we can assess

EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

• The selection and implementation of techniques designed to account for uncertainties are themselves subject to significant uncertainty

Introducing uncertainty in local scale hydrological impact assessments $Q = F(P_{HM}(H(P_{DS}(P_{UDS}))))$ $dQ = \frac{dQ}{dF} \cdot \frac{dF}{dP_{HM}} \cdot \frac{dP_{HM}}{dH} \cdot \frac{dH}{dP_{DS}} \cdot \frac{dP_{DS}}{dP_{UDS}} \cdot dP_{UDS}$ $dQ = \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{HM}} dP_{HM} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial H} dH + \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{DS}} dP_{DS} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{UDS}} dP_{UDS}$



















Introducing uncertainty in local scale hydrological impact assessments

| Statistical criteria | Method | od Deterministic rating curve | | Uncertain rating curve | |
|----------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | | Vène | Pallas | Vène | Pallas |
| NS* | SUFI-2 | 0.69 | 0.73 | | - |
| | GLUE | 0.71 | 0.76 | - | - |
| | ParaSol | 0.58 | 0.67 | - | - |
| R ² * | SUFI-2 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 2 | 2 |
| | GLUE | 0.83 | 0.81 | - | - |
| | ParaSol | 0.79 | 0.69 | - | - |
| p factor (%) | SUFI-2 | 38 | 48 | 47 | 54 |
| | GLUE | 50 | 61 | 67 | 75 |
| | ParaSol | 19 | 28 | 21 | 29 |
| R factor | SUFI-2 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.41 |
| | GLUE | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.67 | 0.59 |
| | ParaSol | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.18 |



Introducing uncertainty in local scale hydrological impact assessments: Uncertainty decomposition (GLUE)

| Source | Vene | Pallas |
|---------------------------------|------|--------|
| Hydrological model parameter | 72 % | 86 % |
| Rating cruve | 28 % | 14 % |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |







The objectives of "Climate Models (CMs) Auditing and Downscaling" were pursued in five (5) steps: 1. Climate Model selection (i.e. use a common subset of 4 regional climate models for hydrological simulations in all target basins)

2. Large-scale bias correction (RCM scales, \sim 25 km)

3. Catchment-scale bias correction (250-3500 $\rm km^2)$

4. Small-scale interpolation and downscaling (i.e. provide high resolution input for hydrological models, about 1 km)

5. Overall uncertainty of climate forcing (i.e. evaluate the uncertainties related to the climatic component)

UCL – Earth & Life Institute



























Conclusions

- Water is a multifunctional critical natural resource for cross sectoral development, currently associated with high global and regional risks.
- CC will very likely have strong impacts on future water resources and associated functions and services. These possible impacts are now better assessed (AR5), but still subjected to obvious uncertainties.

JCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

Conclusions

- A broad set of water management adaptation strategies exist, and the considerations of uncertainty implies the adoption of no-regret solution in the future.
- Adaptation cannot be defined in a generic way and should therefore be local and context specific. This implies versatile DSS, evaluation frameworks, toolkits, information systems, ...
- Uncertainty is often a strong barrier to adaptation.

- EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE

UCL – EARTH & LIFE INSTITUTE –

Conclusions

- The mediterranean remains a hot-spot for CC issues.
- At the local scale, model parameter uncertainty, observation uncertainty, input uncertainty and model structural uncertainty can be addressed. This is done in academic studies, but this is still difficult to fully implement in operational context.
- Uncertainty decomposition of local predicted hydrological impacts in two Mediterranean basins confirms that holistic uncertainty propagation approaches are indeed needed. Uncertainty components are space and time specific.